arXiv:1609.04551v2 [math.AP] 10 Nov 2016

ILL-POSEDNESS FOR THE 3D INHOMOGENEOUS NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS IN THE CRITICAL BESOV SPACE NEAR L^6 FRAMEWORK

RENHUI WAN

Abstract. We prove the ill-posedness for the 3D incompressible inhomogeneous Navier-stokes equations in critical Besov space. In particular, a norm inflation happens in finite time with the initial data satisfying

$$\|a_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1}} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} \leq \delta,\ p > 6$$

or

$$\|a_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}} \leq \delta,\ p > 6.$$

To obtain the norm inflation, we construct a special class of initial data and introduce a modified pressure. Comparing with the classical Navier-Stokes equations in L^∞ framework, we can obtain the ill-posedness for the inhomogeneous case in near L^6 framework.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the cauchy problem for the 3D incompressible inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \mathrm{div}(\rho u) = 0, \\ \rho \partial_t u + \rho u \cdot \nabla u - \mu \Delta u + \nabla P = 0, \\ \mathrm{div} u = 0, \\ (\rho(0,x), u(0,x)) = (\rho_0(x), u_0(x)), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3$, $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$, $u = (u^1, u^2, u^3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ stand for the density and the velocity field, respectively, P represents the scalar pressure. The constant $\mu > 0$ is viscosity coefficient. ρ_0 and u_0 are the initial data satisfying $\mathrm{div} u_0 = 0$. It is easy to check that the solution (ρ, u) of (1.1) is scaling invariance under

$$(\rho_\lambda,\ u_\lambda) = (\rho(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x),\ \lambda u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)). \tag{1.2}$$

We say a function space is critical means the corresponding norm is invariant under (1.2).

Lions [25] showed (1.1) has a global weak solution (ρ, u) with the following initial conditions:

$$0 \leq \rho_0 \in L^\infty,\ \sqrt{\rho} u_0 \in L^2.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q35, 35K55.

Key words and phrases. Navier-Stokes equations, ill-posedness, Besov space.

Then Ladyzenskaja and Solonnikov [24] obtained the local well-posedness for (1.1) with regular data. For the more results on the classical solution, one can see [5, 18, 25] and references therein.

Recently, many mathematicians have studied the well-posedness for the system (1.1) in the critical Besov space. Local well-posedness and small data global existence were obtained by Abidi [1] and Danchin [14], that is,
local well-posedness:

$$\rho_0 - 1 \in \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1},\ u_0 \in \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1},\ p < 6; \tag{1.3}$$

global well-posedness:

$$\|\rho_0 - 1\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1}} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}} < \epsilon. \tag{1.4}$$

Particularly, [1, 14] required the small condition of the initial density and the restriction of $p \in [1, 3]$ for the uniqueness, which were removed by [4] and [15], respectively. In fact, all the above results are obtained around the premise that the initial density is near constant 1. It means that no vacuum is allowed. Let us introduce the new unknown $a := \frac{1}{\rho} - 1$, then (1.1) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a + u \cdot \nabla a = 0, \\ \partial_t u - \mu\Delta u = -u \cdot \nabla u - (1 + a)\nabla P + \mu a \Delta u, \\ \mathrm{div} u = 0, \\ (a(0, x), u(0, x)) = (a_0(x), u_0(x)). \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

Paicu and Zhang [26] proved the global well-posedness for (1.5) with large vertical velocity component (i.e., u^3) with the initial data $(a_0, u_0) \in \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1} \times \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}$ satisfying some restrictions on (p, q), which was later improved by the authors in [31]. We refer to [2, 3, 10, 16, 19, 21, 27] for some other related results. Let us point out that it is not a trivial procedure to extend these results to L^p $(p \geq 6)$ framework, since there is no effective tool to deal with the nonlinear term $\mu a \Delta u$.

When $\rho =$ constant, (1.1) reduces to the classical Navier-Stokes equations. Cannone [8] and Planchon [28] proved global solutions for small data in $\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,q}$ $(p < \infty, q \leq \infty)$. Bourgain and Pavlovic [7] obtained the ill-posedness in $\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}$ by proving the solution map is discontinuous in $\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}$. And Germain [20] showed the solution map is not C^2 in $\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,q}$ $(q > 2)$. Yoneda [30] showed the solution map is not continuous in $\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,q}$ $(q > 2)$. Very recently, Wang [29] obtained the a new ill-posedness in $\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,q}$ $(1 \leq q < 2)$. We refer to [17] for the ill-posedness in some Triebel-Lizorkin space and [13, 22] for other spaces. We point out that the norm inflation comes from the analysis of nonlinear term $u \cdot \nabla u$.

Roughly speaking, (1.5) is locally well-posedness for the initial data $(a_0, u_0) \in \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1} \times \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}$, $p < 6$. So a nature question is whether (1.5) is well-posedness in the critical Besov space with $p \geq 6$. To the best of our knowledge, similar question has been proposed for the compressible Navier-Stokes, see [12] for the details. Indeed, the authors [12] gave a negative answer to this question, that is, the solution of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations is ill-posedness with $p > 6$. Very recently, Chen and Wan [11] proved

ill-posedness with the initial velocity in L^6 framework by using a new approach to get a norm inflation which depends on a decomposition of the density. Motivated by the above analysis, we will show (1.5) is ill-posedness in the critical Besov space. Our main results read:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $p \in (6, \infty]$. For any $\delta > 0$, there exists initial data (a_0, u_0) satisfying*

$$\|a_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1}} \leq \delta, \quad \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} \leq \delta$$

such that a solution (a, u) to the system (1.5) satisfies

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} \geq \frac{1}{\delta^\alpha}$$

for some $0 < t < \delta$ and $\alpha > 0$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $p \in (6, \infty)$. For any $\delta > 0$, there exists initial data (a_0, u_0) satisfying*

$$\|a_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} \leq \delta, \quad \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}} \leq \delta$$

such that a solution (a, u) to the system (1.5) satisfies

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}} \geq \frac{1}{\delta^\alpha}$$

for some $0 < t < \delta$ and $\alpha > 0$.

Remark 1.3. *The idea to the proof of Theorem 1.2 can not be applied directly to the case $p = \infty$. For this case, we will give some comments on the barrier and provide a brief framework of the proof in the Appendix.*

Remark 1.4. *The norm inflation for the classical Navier-stokes equations coming from the nonlinear term $u \cdot \nabla u$ is in L^∞ framework, while the norm inflation for (1.1) is in smaller space due to the appearance of $\mu a \Delta u$. But we have no idea to extend the main results to L^6 framework.*

Now, we give the idea of the proof and make some comments on the technics. Firstly, we present our idea. Like [11], the proof is based on a composition of the velocity and a new decomposition of the density (see Section 3.1), that is

$$u = U_0 + U_1 + U_2,$$

$$a = a_0 + a_1.$$

Then we obtain a norm inflation coming from the coupling term $\mu a \Delta u$ yielding a norm inflation of U_1, while the corresponding norms of U_0 and U_2 are small. Secondly, let us show the technics.

1) We apply a small trick that a special class of initial velocity is constructed to obtain a large lower bound of the associated norm of U_1, see Remark 3.3.
2) Although we own the decomposition of the density, we will face the main difficulty coming from the estimate of gradient pressure (∇P). As a matter of fact, it seems hard to bound the nonlinear term $\mu|D|^{-2}\nabla \mathrm{div}(a\Delta u)$. To overcome this difficulty, we introduce a modified pressure Π satisfying

$$\nabla \Pi := \cdots - \mu|D|^{-2}\nabla \mathrm{div}\{a|D|^{-2}\nabla \mathrm{div}(a\Delta u)\} + \cdots.$$

Thanks to that this term $-\mu|D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}\{a|D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}(a\Delta u)\}$ admits a good estimate, we can achieve this goal.

This paper is organized as follows:
In Section 2, we provide some lemmas and the definitions of some spaces. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1, while Theorem 1.2 is proved in Section 4. We split each Section into several steps. In the Appendix, we will consider the case $p = \infty$ in Theorem 1.2.

Let us complete this section by describing the notations we shall use in this paper.
Notations In some places of this paper, we may use L^p and $\dot{B}^s_{p,r}$ to stand for $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\dot{B}^s_{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, respectively. The uniform constant C, which may be different on different lines, while the constant $C(\cdot)$ means a constant depends on the element(s) in bracket. $a \approx b$ means $N_1^{-1}a \le b \le N_1 a$ for some constant N_1, and $a \gg b$ ($a \ll b$) stands for $a \ge Nb$ ($a \le N^{-1}b$), where N is a large enough constant.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some necessary definitions, propositions and lemmas.

The fractional Laplacian operator $|D|^\alpha = (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is defined through the Fourier transform, namely,

$$\widehat{|D|^\alpha f}(\xi) := |\xi|^\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi),$$

where the Fourier transform is given by

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-ix\cdot\xi} f(x)dx, \text{ or } \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-ix\cdot\xi} f(x)dx.$$

Let $\mathfrak{C} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3,\ \frac{3}{4} \le |\xi| \le \frac{8}{3}\}$. Choose a nonnegative smooth radial function φ supported in $\mathfrak{C}$ such that

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}.$$

We denote $\varphi_j = \varphi(2^{-j}\xi)$, $h = \mathfrak{F}^{-1}\varphi$, where $\mathfrak{F}^{-1}$ stands for the inverse Fourier transform. Then the dyadic blocks Δ_j and S_j can be defined as follows

$$\Delta_j f = \varphi(2^{-j}D)f = 2^{3j}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h(2^j y)f(x-y)dy, \quad S_j f = \sum_{k\le j-1}\Delta_k f.$$

One easily verifies that with our choice of φ

$$\Delta_j\Delta_k f = 0 \text{ if } |j-k| \ge 2 \text{ and } \Delta_j(S_{k-1}f\Delta_k f) = 0 \text{ if } |j-k| \ge 5.$$

Let us recall the definitions of the Besov space and Chemin-Lerner type space [9].

Definition 2.1. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $(p,q) \in [1,\infty]^2$, the homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is defined by*

$$\dot{B}^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{f \in \mathfrak{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3);\ \|f\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \begin{cases} (\sum\limits_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{sqj}\|\Delta_j f\|^q_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)})^{\frac{1}{q}}, & \text{for } \ 1\le q<\infty, \\ \sup\limits_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{sj}\|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}, & \text{for } \ q=\infty, \end{cases}$$

and $\mathfrak{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *denotes the dual space of* $\mathfrak{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)=\{f\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3);\ \partial^\alpha\hat{f}(0)=0;\ \forall\ \alpha\in\ \mathbb{N}^3$ multi-index$\}$ *and can be identified by the quotient space of* $\mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{P}$ *with the polynomials space* $\mathcal{P}$.

Definition 2.2. *Let* $s\in\mathbb{R}$, $(p,q,r)\in[1,\infty]^3$, $0<T\le\infty$. *The Chemin-Lerner type space* $\tilde{L}^r_T\dot{B}^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *is defined by*

$$\tilde{L}^r_T\dot{B}^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)=\{f\in\mathfrak{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3);\ \|f\|_{\tilde{L}^r_T\dot{B}^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)}<\infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{L}^r_T\dot{B}^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \begin{cases} (\sum\limits_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{sqj}\|\Delta_j f\|^q_{L^r_TL^p(\mathbb{R}^3)})^{\frac{1}{q}}, & \text{for } \ 1\le q<\infty, \\ \sup\limits_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{sj}\|\Delta_j f\|_{L^r_TL^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}, & \text{for } \ q=\infty. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\tilde{L}^r_T\dot{B}^s_{p,r}=L^r_T\dot{B}^s_{p,r}$.

Let us introduce the homogeneous Bony's decomposition.

$$uv=T_uv+T_vu+R(u,v),$$

where

$$T_uv=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}S_{j-1}u\Delta_jv,\quad T_vu=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\Delta_juS_{j-1}v,\quad R(u,v)=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\Delta_ju\tilde{\Delta}_jv,$$

here $\tilde{\Delta}_j=\Delta_{j-1}+\Delta_j+\Delta_{j+1}$.

The following proposition provides Bernstein type inequalities.

Proposition 2.3. *Let* $1\le p\le q\le\infty$. *Then for any* $\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^3$, *there exists a constant* C *independent of* f,j *such that*

1) *If* f *satisfies*

$$supp\,\widehat{f}\subset\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^3:\ |\xi|\le\mathcal{K}2^j\},$$

then

$$\|\partial^\gamma f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}\le C2^{j|\gamma|+j(\frac{3}{p}-\frac{3}{q})}\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

2) *If* f *satisfies*

$$supp\,\widehat{f}\subset\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^3:\ \mathcal{K}_12^j\le|\xi|\le\mathcal{K}_22^j\}$$

then

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}\le C2^{-j|\gamma|}\sup_{|\beta|=|\gamma|}\|\partial^\beta f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

The standard estimates of the heat equation and transport equation read in the following:

Proposition 2.4. *Let $T > 0$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \le r \le \infty$. Assume that $u_0 \in \dot{B}^s_{r,1}$ and $f \in \tilde{L}^\rho_T \dot{B}^{s-2+\frac{2}{\rho}}_{r,1}$. If u is the solution of the heat equation*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \mu \Delta u = f, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), \end{cases}$$

with $\mu > 0$, then $\forall\ \rho_1 \in [\rho, \infty]$, we have

$$\mu^{\frac{1}{\rho_1}} \|u\|_{\tilde{L}^{\rho_1}_T \dot{B}^{s+\frac{2}{\rho_1}}_{r,1}} \le C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^s_{r,1}} + \|f\|_{\tilde{L}^\rho_T \dot{B}^{s-2+\frac{2}{\rho}}_{r,1}}).$$

Proposition 2.5. *Let $T > 0$, $s \in (-3\min(\frac{1}{r}, \frac{r-1}{r}), 1 + \frac{3}{r}]$, and $1 \le r \le \infty$. Assume that u is the solution of*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla u = f, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), \end{cases}$$

then we have $\forall\ t \in [0,T]$,

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T \dot{B}^s_{r,1}} \le (\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^s_{r,1}} + \|f\|_{L^1_t \dot{B}^s_{r,1}}) \exp\{\|\nabla v\|_{L^1_t \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{r}}_{r,1}}\}.$$

The Kato-Ponce estimate and some product estimates can be given by

Lemma 2.6. [23] *Let $s > 0$, $1 \le p, r \le \infty$, then*

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,r}} \le C \left\{ \|f\|_{L^{p_1}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p_2,r}} + \|g\|_{L^{r_1}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}^s_{r_2,r}} \right\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $1 \le p_1, r_1 \le \infty$ such that $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2}$.

Lemma 2.7. [11] *Let*

$$1 \le s, s_1, s_2, s_{i1}, s_{i2} \le \infty,\ 3 < r < \infty,\ 3 < q < 6,\ |\alpha| \ge 1,$$
$$\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{s_1} + \frac{1}{s_2} = \frac{1}{s_{i1}} + \frac{1}{s_{i2}},\ i = 1, 2,$$
$$\frac{3}{p_0} + \frac{3}{r} > 1,\ p_0 > 6.$$

Then the following estimates hold:
(a)

$$\|fg\|_{\tilde{L}^s_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{r}}_{r,1}} \le C \left(\|g\|_{\tilde{L}^{s_{11}}_T L^\infty} \|f\|_{L^{s_{12}}_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{r}}_{r,1}} + \|\partial^\alpha g\|_{L^{s_{21}}_T L^\infty} \|f\|_{\tilde{L}^{s_2}_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{r}-|\alpha|}_{r,1}} \right); \tag{2.2}$$

(b)

$$\|fg\|_{\tilde{L}^s_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{r}-1}_{r,1}} \le C \left(\|f\|_{\tilde{L}^{s_{11}}_T L^\infty} \|g\|_{L^{s_{12}}_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{r}-1}_{r,1}} + \|f\|_{L^{s_{21}}_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p_0}+2}_{p_0,1}} \|g\|_{\tilde{L}^{s_2}_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{r}-3}_{r,1}} \right); \tag{2.3}$$

(c)

$$\|fg\|_{\tilde{L}^s_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{r}-1}_{r,1}} \le C \|f\|_{\tilde{L}^{s_1}_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}} \|g\|_{\tilde{L}^{s_2}_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{r}-1}_{r,1}}. \tag{2.4}$$

For the readers' convenience, we refer to [6] for more details about the Besov space.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

3.1. **Reformulation of the equation.** From (1.5), we have

$$\nabla P = |D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}(a\nabla P) + |D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}(u\cdot\nabla u) - \mu|D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}(a\Delta u). \tag{3.1}$$

As the previous comments in Section 1, we require a modified pressure given by

$$\Pi := P + \mu|D|^{-2}\mathrm{div}(a\Delta u).$$

Then one gets

$$\nabla\Pi = |D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}(a\nabla\Pi) - \mu|D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}\left\{a|D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}(a\Delta u)\right\} + |D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}(u\cdot\nabla u). \tag{3.2}$$

So we can write (1.5) as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a + u\cdot\nabla a = 0,\ \mathrm{div}u = 0 \\ \partial_t u - \mu\Delta u = -u\cdot\nabla u - \nabla\Pi - a\nabla P \\ \qquad\qquad + \mu a\Delta u + \mu|D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}(a\Delta u), \\ (a(0,x), u(0,x)) = (a_0(x), u_0(x)). \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Applying Duhamel principle to $(3.3)_2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} u(t,x) =& e^{\mu\Delta t}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{\mu\Delta(t-\tau)}\{-u\cdot\nabla u - \nabla\Pi - a\nabla P \\ &+ \mu a\Delta u + \mu|D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}(a\Delta u)\}d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} U_0(t) =& e^{\mu\Delta t}u_0, \\ U_1(t) =& \mu\int_0^t e^{\mu\Delta(t-\tau)}\{a_0\Delta U_0 + |D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}(a_0\Delta U_0)\}d\tau, \\ U_2(t) =& \int_0^t e^{\mu\Delta(t-\tau)}\{-u\cdot\nabla u - \nabla\Pi - a\nabla P + F_1 + F_2\}d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 =& \mu(a_1\Delta u + a_0\Delta(U_1+U_2)), \\ F_2 =& \mu|D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}\{a_1\Delta u + a_0\Delta(U_1+U_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we can decompose $u(t,x)$ and $a(t,x)$ as

$$u(t,x) = U_0(t,x) + U_1(t,x) + U_2(t,x)$$

and

$$a(t,x) = a_0(x) + a_1(t,x)$$

where $a_1(t)$ satisfies the generalized transport equation given by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a_1 + u\cdot\nabla(a_0+a_1) = 0, \\ a_1(0,x) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

3.2. **The choice of initial data.** Due to supp $\varphi(2^8\xi) \subset \{\frac{3}{4}\times 2^{-8} \le |\xi| \le \frac{8}{3}\times 2^{-8}\}$, we get there exists a positive constant $A>0$ such that at least one of the following two inequalities holds:

$$\varphi(2^8\xi)\frac{\xi_1^2+\xi_3^2}{|\xi|^2} \ge A\varphi(2^8\xi), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\varphi(2^8\xi)\frac{\xi_2^2+\xi_3^2}{|\xi|^2} \ge A\varphi(2^8\xi).$$

Without loss of generality, we assume (3.5) holds in this present article. Let $C(N) = 2^{\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{p}-2\epsilon_1)}$ for some $0<\epsilon_1<\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{p})$ and $p>6$, where $N>0$ determined later is a sufficiently large constant leading to $\frac{N}{C(N)} \ll 1$. We construct the initial data (a_0, u_0) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{a_0}(\xi) =& \frac{1}{C(N)}\sum_{k=100}^{N} 2^{-k\frac{3}{p}}\left(\hat\phi(\xi-2^k e_1)+\hat\phi(\xi+2^k e_1)\right),\\
\widehat{u_0}(\xi) =& \frac{1}{C(N)}\sum_{k=100}^{N} 2^{\frac{k}{2}}\left((\hat\phi(\xi+2^k e_1)-\hat\phi(\xi-2^k e_1)\right)\frac{1}{|\xi|}\begin{pmatrix}\xi_2\\ -\xi_1\\ 0\end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

where $e_1=(1,0,0)$ and $\hat\phi$ is a smooth, radial and nonnegative function in $\mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying

$$\hat\phi = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |\xi|\le 1,\\ 0 & \text{for } |\xi|\ge 2.\end{cases}$$

One can see a_0 is a real valued function, while u_0 is a real vector-valued function by observing

$$u_0(x) = \frac{2}{C(N)}\sum_{k=100}^{N} 2^{\frac{k}{2}}\left\{-\mathcal{R}_2(\phi(x)\sin(2^k x_1)),\ \mathcal{R}_1(\phi(x)\sin(2^k x_1)),\ 0\right\},$$

where $\mathcal{R}_i$ is the Riesz transform defined by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}_i f}(\xi) := \frac{-i\xi_i}{|\xi|}\widehat{f}(\xi).$$

One can also check the following estimates hold, i.e.,

$$\|a_0\|_{L^\infty} \le \frac{C}{C(N)},\ \|a_0\|_{\dot B^{\frac{3}{p}}_{p,1}} \le \frac{CN}{C(N)},\ \|u_0\|_{\dot B^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} \le \frac{CN}{C(N)} \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\|a_0\|_{\dot B^{s}_{r,1}} \le \frac{C2^{N(s-\frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)},\ \|u_0\|_{\dot B^{s_1}_{r_1,1}} \le \frac{C2^{N(s_1+\frac{1}{2})}}{C(N)},$$

with $(r,r_1)\in[1,\infty]^2$ and $s>\frac{3}{p}$, $s_1>-\frac{1}{2}$.

Next, a lemma is given.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $p > 6$. Then there exist some positive constants q, p_0, ϵ, ϵ_1 satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} 1 < \dfrac{3}{q} + \dfrac{3}{p_0} < \dfrac{5}{4} + \dfrac{3}{2p} - \dfrac{3}{p_0} + 2\epsilon - 3\epsilon_1, \\ \dfrac{3}{p_0} < \dfrac{1}{4} + \dfrac{3}{2p} - \epsilon_1, \ p_0 \in (6, p), \\ \dfrac{3}{q} < 1 + 3\epsilon - 2\epsilon_1, \ q \in (3, 6), \\ 0 < 2\epsilon < 2\epsilon_1 < \dfrac{1}{2} - \dfrac{3}{p}. \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

Remark 3.2. *We give the following explanations of the limitations in (3.7). Let $T = 2^{-2(1+\epsilon)N}$, then we have*

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{2^{N(\frac{3}{p_0} - \frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)} \ll 1 \iff \dfrac{3}{p_0} < \dfrac{1}{4} + \dfrac{3}{2p} - \epsilon_1, \\ \dfrac{T^{\frac{3}{2}} 2^{N(\frac{3}{q} - \frac{3}{p} + \frac{5}{2})}}{C(N)^2} \ll 1 \iff \dfrac{3}{q} < 1 + 3\epsilon - 2\epsilon_1, \\ \dfrac{T 2^{N(\frac{3}{q} + \frac{6}{p_0} - \frac{6}{p} + \frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)^3} \ll 1 \iff \dfrac{3}{q} + \dfrac{3}{p_0} < \dfrac{5}{4} + \dfrac{3}{2p} - \dfrac{3}{p_0} + 2\epsilon - 3\epsilon_1. \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

Actually, we assume the conditions on the right hand side of (3.8) to ensure the conditions on the left hand side which is required in our proof. Furthermore, we use $\frac{3}{q} + \frac{3}{p_0} > 1$ to ensure some product estimates like (2.4). The choice of $C(N)$ needs $2\epsilon_1 < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{p}$, while $\epsilon < \epsilon_1$ ensures the norm inflation of U_1 (see the end of subsection 3.3).

Lemma 3.1 can be proved easily, here we use the following example in this article:

$$\epsilon_1 = \frac{1}{4}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{p}), \ \epsilon = \frac{1}{5}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{p})$$

and

$$\frac{3}{p_0} = \frac{1}{16} + \frac{21}{8p}, \ \forall \ \frac{3}{q} \in (\frac{15}{16} - \frac{21}{8p}, \ \frac{19}{20} - \frac{27}{10p}).$$

This gives that

$$2(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon) = \frac{1}{10}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{p}), \ \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{p} - 2\epsilon_1) = \frac{1}{4}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{p}). \tag{3.9}$$

3.3. **The analysis of U_1.** Let V^j be the j-th component of the vector V. Thanks to $\dot{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}$, we have

$$\|U_1\|_{\dot{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} \geq c\|U_1\|_{\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,\infty}} \geq c\Big|\int \varphi(2^8\xi)\widehat{U_1}(\xi)d\xi\Big| \geq c\Big|\int \varphi(2^8\xi)\widehat{U_1^2}(\xi)d\xi\Big|.$$

Let us give the second component U_1^2 of U_1:

$$\begin{aligned}U_1^2 =&\mu\int_0^t e^{\mu\Delta(t-\tau)}\{a_0\Delta U_0^2+|D|^{-2}\partial_2\mathrm{div}(a_0\Delta U_0)\}d\tau\\ =&\mu\int_0^t e^{\mu\Delta(t-\tau)}(1+\partial_2^2|D|^{-2})(a_0\Delta U_0^2)d\tau\\ &+\mu\int_0^t e^{\mu\Delta(t-\tau)}|D|^{-2}\partial_1\partial_2(a_0\Delta U_0^1)d\tau\\ =:&U_{11}^2+U_{12}^2.\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\|U_1\|_{\dot{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}\geq |B_1|-|B_2|,$$

where

$$B_1=\int\varphi(2^8\xi)\widehat{U_{11}^2}(\xi)d\xi,\ B_2=\int\varphi(2^8\xi)\widehat{U_{12}^2}(\xi)d\xi.$$

Now, we give the estimates of B_1 and B_2.

- **The estimate of B_2**

  Using some facts of Fourier transform, we have

$$B_2=-\mu\int\varphi(2^8\xi)\int_0^t e^{-\mu(t-\tau)|\xi|^2}\frac{\xi_1\xi_2}{|\xi|^2}\mathcal{F}(a_0\Delta U_0^1)d\tau d\xi.$$

Thanks to the construction of initial data, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(a_0\Delta U_0^1)=&-\int\widehat{a_0}(\xi-\eta)|\eta|^2\widehat{U_0^1}(\eta)d\eta\\ =&-\frac{C}{C(N)^2}\sum_{k=100}^N 2^{k(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{p})}\int e^{-\mu|\eta|^2\tau}\eta_2|\eta|A(\xi,\eta,k)d\eta,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$A(\xi,\eta,k):=-\hat{\phi}(\xi-\eta+2^ke_1)\hat{\phi}(\eta-2^ke_1)+\hat{\phi}(\xi-\eta-2^ke_1)\hat{\phi}(\eta+2^ke_1).$$

Thus B_2 can be given by

$$B_2=\frac{C}{C(N)^2}\sum_{k=100}^N 2^{k(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{p})}\int\int\varphi(2^8\xi)\frac{\xi_1\xi_2}{|\xi|^2}\eta_2|\eta|A(\xi,\eta,k)\int_0^t e^{-\mu((t-\tau)|\xi|^2+\tau|\eta|^2)}d\tau d\xi d\eta.$$

Due to $|\xi|\approx 1$, $|\eta_2|\approx 1$ and $|\eta|\approx 2^k$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}|B_2|\leq&\frac{Ct}{C(N)^2}\sum_{k=100}^N 2^{k(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{p})}\int\int\varphi(2^8\xi)|A(\xi,\eta,k)|d\xi d\eta\\ \leq&\frac{Ct}{C(N)^2}\sum_{k=100}^N 2^{k(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{p})}\leq\frac{Ct}{C(N)^2}2^{N(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{p})}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

- **The estimate of B_1**

We will show the large lower bound of B_1 which yields the norm inflation of the solution. One can easily obtain

$$B_1 = \mu \int \varphi(2^8\xi)\frac{\xi_1^2+\xi_3^2}{|\xi|^2}\int_0^t e^{-\mu|\xi|^2(t-\tau)}\mathcal{F}(a_0\Delta U_0^2)d\tau d\xi.$$

By a similar way as before, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(a_0\Delta U_0^2) =& -\int \widehat{a_0}(\xi-\eta)|\eta|^2\widehat{U_0^2}(\eta)d\eta\\ =&\frac{C}{C(N)^2}\sum_{k=100}^{N}2^{k(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{p})}\int A(\xi,\eta,k)\eta_1|\eta|e^{-\mu|\eta|^2\tau}d\eta.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$B_1 = -\frac{C}{C(N)^2}\sum_{k=100}^{N}2^{k(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{p})}\int\int \varphi(2^8\xi)\frac{\xi_1^2+\xi_3^2}{|\xi|^2}\eta_1|\eta|A(\xi,\eta,k)\mathfrak{A}(t,\xi,\eta)d\xi d\eta,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{A}(t,\xi,\eta) := \int_0^t e^{-\mu(|\xi|^2(t-\tau)+|\eta|^2\tau)}d\tau.$$

Remark 3.3. *Due to the construction of initial data, we obtain two negative terms:*

$$\eta_1 A(\xi,\eta,k) = -\eta_1\hat{\phi}(\xi-\eta+2^ke_1)\hat{\phi}(\eta-2^ke_1)+\eta_1\hat{\phi}(\xi-\eta-2^ke_1)\hat{\phi}(\eta+2^ke_1).$$

In the following proof, we only use one of them.

Applying the Taylor expansion $e^x = \sum_{r\geq 0}\frac{x^r}{r!}$, $|\xi|\approx 1$ and $|\eta|\approx 2^k$, we get

$$\mathfrak{A}(t,\xi,\eta) = \frac{e^{-\mu t|\eta|^2}-e^{-\mu t|\xi|^2}}{\mu(|\xi|^2-|\eta|^2)} = t+\mathcal{O}(t^2|\eta|^2) \tag{3.11}$$

when $t2^{2N}<1$. Thanks to (3.11) and (3.5), one has

$$\begin{aligned}|B_1| \geq&\frac{C}{C(N)^2}\sum_{k=100}^{N}2^{k(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{p})}\int\int\varphi(2^8\xi)\frac{\xi_1^2+\xi_3^2}{|\xi|^2}\eta_1|\eta|\\ &\times\hat{\phi}(\xi-\eta+2^ke_1)\hat{\phi}(\eta-2^ke_1)\{t+\mathcal{O}(t^2|\eta|^2)\}d\xi d\eta\\ \geq&\frac{c}{C(N)^2}\sum_{k=100}^{N}2^{k(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{p})}(t2^{2k}-\mathcal{O}(t^2 2^{4k}))\\ \geq&\frac{ct}{C(N)^2}\sum_{k=100}^{N}2^{k(\frac{5}{2}-\frac{3}{p})}-\frac{Ct^2}{C(N)^2}\sum_{k=100}^{N}2^{k(\frac{9}{2}-\frac{3}{p})}\\ =&\frac{ct2^{N(\frac{5}{2}-\frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)^2}-\frac{Ct^2 2^{N(\frac{9}{2}-\frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Choosing $t = T_0 := 2^{-2(1+\epsilon)N}$, $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_1$, which ensures $t2^{2N} < 1$, and combining with (3.10), (3.12) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|U_1(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} \geq & |B_1| - |B_2| \\ \geq & \frac{ct2^{N(\frac{5}{2}-\frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)^2} - \frac{Ct^2 2^{N(\frac{9}{2}-\frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)^2} - \frac{Ct2^{N(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)^2} \\ \geq & \frac{ct2^{N(\frac{5}{2}-\frac{3}{p})}}{2C(N)^2} - \frac{Ct^2 2^{N(\frac{9}{2}-\frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)^2} \geq \frac{c}{4} 2^{2(\epsilon_1-\epsilon)N}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

3.4. **The analysis of U_2.** Let (p, p_0, q) be given as in Lemma 3.1. Let $0 \leq T \leq T_0$. We split the analysis into five steps.

Step 1. Some estimates of U_1 We provide some estimates of U_1 which will be used in the following proof.

$$\|U_1\|_{L^r_T L^\infty} \leq T^{\frac{1}{r}} \int_0^T \|a_0\|_{L^\infty} \||\xi|^2 \widehat{U_0}\|_{L^1} d\tau \leq \frac{CT^{1+\frac{1}{r}} 2^{\frac{5}{2}N}}{C(N)^2},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\|U_1\|_{L^1_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q_1}+1}_{q_1,1}} + \|U_1\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q_1}}_{q_1,1}} \\ \leq & T^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|U_1\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q_1}+1}_{q_1,1}} + \|U_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q_1}}_{q_1,1}}) \\ \leq & CT^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T \|a_0 \Delta U_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q_1}}_{q_1,1}} d\tau \\ \leq & CT^{\frac{3}{2}} (\|a_0\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta U_0\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q_1}}_{q_1,1}} + \|a_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q_1}}_{q_1,1}} \||\xi|^2 \widehat{U_0}\|_{L^\infty_T L^1}) \\ \leq & \frac{CT^{\frac{3}{2}} 2^{N(\frac{3}{q_1}+\frac{5}{2})}}{C(N)^2},\end{aligned}$$

where $q_1 = q$ or p_0.

Step 2. The estimate of $\|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^1_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}$ Thanks to div$u = 0$ and Bernstein inequality, it suffices to bound $\|u \otimes u\|_{L^1_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}}$. Using the decomposition $u = U_0 + U_1 + U_2$, we can split this estimate into six parts. Applying (2.1), one has

$$\begin{aligned}\|U_0 \otimes U_0\|_{L^1_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}} \leq & C\|U_0\|_{L^\infty_T L^\infty} \|U_0\|_{\tilde{L}^1_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}} \leq \frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}+1)}}{C(N)^2}, \\ \|U_0 \otimes U_1\|_{L^1_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}} \leq & CT^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|U_0\|_{L^\infty_T L^\infty} \|U_1\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}} + \|U_1\|_{L^\infty_T L^\infty} \|U_0\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}}) \leq \frac{CT^2 2^{N(\frac{3}{q}+3)}}{C(N)^3}, \\ \|U_1 \otimes U_1\|_{L^1_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}} \leq & C\|U_1\|_{L^2_T L^\infty} \|U_1\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}} \leq \frac{CT^3 2^{N(\frac{3}{q}+5)}}{C(N)^4}.\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned}\|U_0\otimes U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac3q}_{q,1}}\leq& C(\|U_0\|_{L^2_TL^\infty}\|U_2\|_{\tilde L^2_T\dot B^{\frac3q}_{q,1}}+\|\nabla U_0\|_{L^1_TL^\infty}\|U_2\|_{\tilde L^\infty_T\dot B^{\frac3q-1}_{q,1}})\\ \leq&\frac{CT^{\frac12}2^{\frac N2}}{C(N)}(\|U_2\|_{\tilde L^\infty_T\dot B^{\frac3q-1}_{q,1}}+\|U_2\|_{\tilde L^2_T\dot B^{\frac3q}_{q,1}}),\\ \|U_1\otimes U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac3q}_{q,1}}\leq& C(\|U_1\|_{\tilde L^2_TL^\infty}\|U_2\|_{\tilde L^2_T\dot B^{\frac3q}_{q,1}}+\|\nabla U_1\|_{L^1_TL^\infty}\|U_2\|_{\tilde L^\infty_T\dot B^{\frac3q-1}_{q,1}})\\ \leq&\frac{CT^{\frac32}2^{\frac{5N}{2}}}{C(N)^2}(\|U_2\|_{\tilde L^\infty_T\dot B^{\frac3q-1}_{q,1}}+\|U_2\|_{\tilde L^2_T\dot B^{\frac3q}_{q,1}}).\end{aligned}$$

In reality, we have applied Proposition 2.4 with $f=0$ to some estimates of U_0. Using (2.1) again, with $\dot B^{\frac3q}_{q,1}\hookrightarrow L^\infty$, we have

$$\|U_2\otimes U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac3q}_{q,1}}\leq C\|U_2\|^2_{\tilde L^2_T\dot B^{\frac3q}_{q,1}}.$$

Thus we get

$$\|u\cdot\nabla u\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac3q-1}_{q,1}}\leq\frac{CT2^{N(\frac3q+1)}}{C(N)^2}+\frac{C}{C(N)}(\|U_2\|_{\tilde L^\infty_T\dot B^{\frac3q-1}_{q,1}}+\|U_2\|_{\tilde L^2_T\dot B^{\frac3q}_{q,1}})+C\|U_2\|^2_{\tilde L^2_T\dot B^{\frac3q}_{q,1}}. \quad (3.14)$$

Step 3. The estimate of $\|F_1\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac3q-1}_{q,1}}$ and $\|F_2\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac3q-1}_{q,1}}$ Thanks to the product estimate (2.4) with $\frac3q+\frac{3}{p_0}>1$, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\|a_1\Delta U_0\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac3q-1}_{q,1}}\leq&C\|a_1\|_{\tilde L^\infty_T\dot B^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}\|U_0\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac3q+1}_{q,1}}\leq\frac{CT2^{N(\frac3q+\frac32)}}{C(N)}\|a_1\|_{\tilde L^\infty_T\dot B^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}},\\ \|a_1\Delta U_1\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac3q-1}_{q,1}}\leq&C\|a_1\|_{\tilde L^\infty_T\dot B^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}\|U_1\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac3q+1}_{q,1}}\leq\frac{CT^{\frac32}2^{N(\frac3q+\frac52)}}{C(N)^2}\|a_1\|_{\tilde L^\infty_T\dot B^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}},\\ \|a_1\Delta U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac3q-1}_{q,1}}\leq&C\|a_1\|_{\tilde L^\infty_T\dot B^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}\|U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac3q+1}_{q,1}},\\ \|a_0\Delta U_1\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac3q-1}_{q,1}}\leq&\|a_0\|_{\dot B^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}\|U_1\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac3q+1}_{q,1}}\leq\frac{CT^{\frac32}2^{N(\frac3q+\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac3p+\frac52)}}{C(N)^3}.\end{aligned}$$

Applying (2.3), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}\|a_0\Delta U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac3q-1}_{q,1}}\leq&C(\|a_0\|_{L^\infty}\|U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac3q+1}_{q,1}}+T\|a_0\|_{\dot B^{\frac{3}{p_0}+2}_{p_0,1}}\|U_2\|_{\tilde L^\infty_T\dot B^{\frac3q-1}_{q,1}})\\ \leq&C\frac{T2^{N(\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac3p+2)}+1}{C(N)}(\|U_2\|_{\tilde L^\infty_T\dot B^{\frac3q-1}_{q,1}}+\|U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac3q+1}_{q,1}}).\end{aligned}$$

Collecting the above estimates leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\|F_1\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}+\|F_2\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}\leq&\frac{CT^{\frac{3}{2}}2^{N(\frac{3}{q}+\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac{3}{p}+\frac{5}{2})}}{C(N)^3}+\frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)}\|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}\\&+C\frac{T2^{N(\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}+1}{C(N)}(\|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}+\|U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}})\\&+\|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}\|U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.15}$$

Step 4. Some estimates of the pressure P and the modified pressure Π By using (3.1), (3.14), (3.15) and

$$\|a_0\Delta U_0\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}\leq C\|a_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}\|U_0\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}}\leq\frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}+\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac{3}{p}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)^2},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla P\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}\leq&C\|a\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}\|\nabla P\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}+C\|u\cdot\nabla u\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}+C\|a\Delta u\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}\\\leq&C(\frac{2^{N(\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)}+\|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}})\|\nabla P\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}+\frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}+\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac{3}{p}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)^2}\\&+\frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)}\|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}+C\frac{1+T2^{N(\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)}(\|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}\\&+\|U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}})+C\|U_2\|^2_{\tilde{L}^2_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}}+C\|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}\|U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

Similarly, using (3.2) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla\Pi\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}\leq&C\|a\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}\|\nabla\Pi\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}\\&+C\|a\|^2_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}\|u\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}}+C\|u\cdot\nabla u\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}\\\leq&C(\frac{2^{N(\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)}+\|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}})\|\nabla\Pi\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}+C\|U_2\|^2_{\tilde{L}^2_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}}\\&+C(\frac{2^{N(\frac{6}{p_0}-\frac{6}{p})}}{C(N)^2}+\|a_1\|^2_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}})(\frac{T2^{N(\frac{3}{q}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)}+\|U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}})\\&+\frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}+1)}}{C(N)^2}+\frac{C}{C(N)}(\|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}+\|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}}).\end{aligned}\tag{3.17}$$

Step 5. The estimate of $\|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}$ Applying Proposition 2.5 to the transport equation (3.4), one deduces

$$\|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}\leq\|u\cdot\nabla a_0\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}\exp\{C\|\nabla u\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}\}.$$

It follows from using (2.1) that

$$\|U_0\cdot\nabla a_0\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}\le C(\|U_0\|_{L^1_TL^\infty}\|\nabla a_0\|_{\dot B^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}+\|U_0\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}\|\nabla a_0\|_{L^\infty})\le\frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac{3}{p}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)^2},$$

$$\|U_1\cdot\nabla a_0\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}\le C(\|U_1\|_{L^1_TL^\infty}\|\nabla a_0\|_{\dot B^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}+\|U_1\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}\|\nabla a_0\|_{L^\infty})\le\frac{CT^22^{N(\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac{3}{p}+\frac{7}{2})}}{C(N)^3}.$$

Using (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|U_2\cdot\nabla a_0\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}\le&C(T^{\frac12}\|\nabla a_0\|_{L^\infty}\|U_2\|_{\tilde L^2_T\dot B^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}+T\|\nabla^2a_0\|_{L^\infty}\|U_2\|_{\tilde L^\infty_T\dot B^{\frac{3}{p_0}-1}_{p_0,1}})\\ \le&\frac{CT^{\frac12}2^{N(1-\frac3p)}}{C(N)}(\|U_2\|_{\tilde L^\infty_T\dot B^{\frac{3}{p_0}-1}_{p_0,1}}+\|U_2\|_{\tilde L^2_T\dot B^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}).\end{aligned}$$

Using $\dot B^{s+\frac3q}_{q,1}\hookrightarrow\dot B^{s+\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}$, thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|a_1\|_{\tilde L^\infty_T\dot B^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}}\le&\{\frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac3p+\frac32)}}{C(N)^2}+\frac{CT^{\frac12}2^{N(1-\frac3p)}}{C(N)}(\|U_2\|_{\tilde L^\infty_T\dot B^{\frac3q-1}_{q,1}}+\|U_2\|_{\tilde L^2_T\dot B^{\frac3q}_{q,1}})\}\\ &\exp\{\frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{p_0}+\frac32)}}{C(N)}+C\|U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac{3}{p_0}+1}_{p_0,1}}\}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.18}$$

3.5. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Denote

$$X_T:=\|a_1\|_{\tilde L^\infty_T\dot B^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}},\ Y_T:=\|U_2\|_{\tilde L^\infty_T\dot B^{\frac3q-1}_{q,1}}+\|U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac3q+1}_{q,1}},$$

and

$$\bar T=\sup\left\{t\in(0,T):\ Y_T\le M_1(\frac{T2^{N(\frac3q+\frac{6}{p_0}-\frac6p+\frac32)}}{C(N)^3}+\frac{1}{C(N)^2}),\ X_T\le M_2\frac{2^{N(\frac{6}{p_0}-\frac6p)}}{C(N)^2}\right\},$$

where M_i $i=1,2$ are large enough constants, which will be determined later on. Assume $\bar T<T$. Choosing N such that

$$\frac{2^{N(\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac3p)}}{C(N)}\ll1,\ M_2\frac{2^{N(\frac{6}{p_0}-\frac6p)}}{C(N)^2}\ll1,$$

thanks to (3.17) and (3.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla\Pi\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac3q-1}_{q,1}}\le&C(\frac{T2^{N(\frac3q+\frac{6}{p_0}-\frac6p+\frac32)}}{C(N)^3}+\frac{1}{C(N)^2})+\frac{CT2^{N(\frac3q+\frac32)}}{C(N)}X_T^2\\ &+\frac{C2^{2N(\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac3p)}}{C(N)^2}Y_T+CX_T^2Y_T+CY_T^2,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla P\|_{L^1_T\dot B^{\frac3q-1}_{q,1}}\le&\frac{CT2^{N(\frac3q+\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac3p+\frac32)}}{C(N)^2}+\frac{CT2^{N(\frac3q+\frac32)}}{C(N)}X_T\\ &+C\frac{T2^{N(\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac3p+2)}+1}{C(N)}Y_T+CY_T(X_T+Y_T),\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

respectively. The estimate (3.19) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|a\nabla P\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}} \leq & C(\frac{2^{N(\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)}+X_T)\|\nabla P\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}\\ \leq & \frac{T2^{N(\frac{3}{q}+\frac{6}{p_0}-\frac{6}{p}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)^3}+\frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}+\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac{3}{p}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)^2}X_T\\ & + C\frac{2^{N(\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac{3}{p})}+T2^{N(\frac{6}{p_0}-\frac{6}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^2}Y_T+\frac{C2^{N(\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)}Y_T(X_T+Y_T)\\ & + \frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)}X_T^2+CX_TY_T(X_T+Y_T).\end{aligned}$$

Setting N such that

$$M_1\frac{T^{\frac{3}{2}}2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+\frac{5}{2})}}{C(N)^2}\ll 1,$$

and using (3.18), we have

$$X_T\leq \frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac{3}{p}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)^2}+\frac{CM_1T^{\frac{3}{2}}2^{N(\frac{3}{q}+\frac{6}{p_0}-\frac{9}{p}+\frac{5}{2})}}{C(N)^4}+\frac{CM_1T^{\frac{1}{2}}2^{N(1-\frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)^3}\leq\frac{C_12^{N(\frac{6}{p_0}-\frac{6}{p})}}{C(N)^2}.$$

Thanks to the above estimates, choosing N such that

$$\frac{T2^{N(\frac{3}{q}+\frac{6}{p_0}-\frac{6}{p}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)^3}\ll 1,$$

we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}Y_T\leq & C\|u\cdot\nabla u\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}+C\sum_{i=1,2}\|F_i\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}+C\|a\nabla P\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}+C\|\nabla\Pi\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}\\ \leq & C(\frac{T2^{N(\frac{3}{q}+\frac{6}{p_0}-\frac{6}{p}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)^3}+\frac{1}{C(N)^2})+\frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)}X_T\\ & + C(\frac{1+T2^{N(\frac{3}{p_0}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)}+\frac{2^{N(\frac{6}{p_0}-\frac{6}{p})}}{C(N)^2})Y_T+CY_T(X_T+Y_T)\\ \leq & C_2(\frac{T2^{N(\frac{3}{q}+\frac{6}{p_0}-\frac{6}{p}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)^3}+\frac{1}{C(N)^2})+\frac{1}{2}Y_T,\end{aligned}$$

which follows

$$Y_T\leq 2C_2(\frac{T2^{N(\frac{3}{q}+\frac{6}{p_0}-\frac{6}{p}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)^3}+\frac{1}{C(N)^2}).$$

We can see from the Remark 3.2 that the conditions in Lemma 3.1 can ensure the above requirements of N. If we set $M_2=4C_1$ and $M_1=4C_2$, then a contradiction is obtained. Therefore, we have $\bar{T}=T_0$, and

$$Y_T\leq 4C_2(\frac{T2^{N(\frac{3}{q}+\frac{6}{p_0}-\frac{6}{p}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)^3}+\frac{1}{C(N)^2}),\ X_t\leq 4\frac{C_12^{N(\frac{6}{p_0}-\frac{6}{p})}}{C(N)^2},\ \forall\ T\leq T_0. \tag{3.20}$$

Combining with (3.13) and (3.20), we get

$$\|u(T_0)\|_{\dot{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} \geq \|U_1(T_0)\|_{\dot{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} - (\|U_0(T_0)\|_{\dot{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} + Y_{T_0}) \geq \frac{c}{8} 2^{2(\epsilon_1-\epsilon)N}.$$

Thanks to (4.1) and (3.9), then we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

The proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us keep the process in Section 3.1 in mind. Now we begin with the choice of initial data.

4.1. **The choice of initial data.** As Section 3.2, we assume (3.5) and make the same assumptions of $C(N)$, ϵ_1, $\hat{\phi}$. Let us construct the initial data as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{a_0}(\xi) =& \frac{1}{C(N)} \sum_{k=100}^{N} 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \left(\hat{\phi}(\xi - 2^k e_1) + \hat{\phi}(\xi + 2^k e_1) \right), \\
\widehat{u_0}(\xi) =& \frac{1}{C(N)} \sum_{k=100}^{N} 2^{k(1-\frac{3}{p})} \left(\hat{\phi}(\xi + 2^k e_1) - \hat{\phi}(\xi - 2^k e_1) \right) \frac{1}{|\xi|} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_2 \\ -\xi_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

One can see a_0 and u_0 are real valued function and real vector-valued function, respectively. One can also check the following estimates hold, i.e.,

$$\|a_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{C}{C(N)}, \ \|a_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} \leq \frac{CN}{C(N)}, \ \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}} \leq \frac{CN}{C(N)} \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\|a_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s}_{r,1}} \leq \frac{C 2^{N(s-\frac{1}{2})}}{C(N)}, \ \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1}_{r_1,1}} \leq \frac{C 2^{N(s_1+1-\frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)},$$

with $(r, r_1) \in [1, \infty]^2$ and $s > \frac{1}{2}$, $s_1 > \frac{3}{p} - 1$.

Now, we give a lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $p \in (6, \infty)$. Then there exist some positive constants q, ϵ, ϵ_1 satisfying*

$$\begin{cases}
\dfrac{3}{q} < \min\{1 + 2\epsilon - 2\epsilon_1, \ \dfrac{3}{4} + \dfrac{9}{2p} + 2\epsilon - \epsilon_1, \\
\qquad\qquad \dfrac{3}{4} - \dfrac{3}{2p} + 2\epsilon - 3\epsilon_1, \ \dfrac{1}{2} + \dfrac{3}{p} + 2\epsilon - 2\epsilon_1\} \\
q \in (3, 6), \ 0 < 2\epsilon < 2\epsilon_1 < \dfrac{1}{2} - \dfrac{3}{p}.
\end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Remark 4.2. *Although one can easily find that $1 + 2\epsilon - 2\epsilon_1$ and $\frac{3}{4} + \frac{9}{2p} + 2\epsilon - \epsilon_1$ in (4.2) can be dropped, here we keep it in the bracket in order to giving a detailed analysis of the conditions in (4.3). Now, let us give the following explanations of the limitations in*

(4.2). Let $T = 2^{-2(1+\epsilon)N}$, then we have

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{T2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)^2} \ll 1 \iff \dfrac{3}{q} < 1 + 2\epsilon - 2\epsilon_1, \\ \dfrac{T2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{6}{p}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)} \ll 1 \iff \dfrac{3}{q} < \dfrac{3}{4} + \dfrac{9}{2p} + 2\epsilon - \epsilon_1, \\ \dfrac{T2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^3} \ll 1 \iff \dfrac{3}{q} < \dfrac{3}{4} - \dfrac{3}{2p} + 2\epsilon - 3\epsilon_1, \\ \dfrac{T2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{6}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^2} \ll 1 \iff \dfrac{3}{q} < \dfrac{1}{2} + \dfrac{3}{p} + 2\epsilon - 2\epsilon_1, \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

Actually, we assume the conditions on the right hand side of (4.3) to ensure the conditions on the left hand side which is required in our proof. Furthermore, we use $q \in (3, 6)$ to ensure some product estimates like (2.4). The choice of $C(N)$ needs $2\epsilon_1 < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{p}$, while $\epsilon < \epsilon_1$ ensures the norm inflation of U_1 (see Subsection 4.2).

Lemma 4.1 can be proved easily, here we use the following example in this article.

If $p \in (6, 18]$, the first inequality in (4.2) reduces to $\frac{3}{q} < \frac{3}{4} - \frac{3}{2p} + 2\epsilon - 3\epsilon_1$, then we can choose

$$\epsilon_1 = \frac{1}{4}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{p}),\ \epsilon = \frac{1}{5}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{p})$$

and

$$\forall\ \frac{3}{q} \in (\frac{1}{2},\ \frac{23}{40} - \frac{9}{20p}),$$

leading

$$2(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon) = \frac{1}{10}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{p}),\ \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{p} - 2\epsilon_1) = \frac{1}{4}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{p}); \tag{4.4}$$

If $p \in (18, \infty)$, the first inequality in (4.2) can be ensured by $\frac{3}{q} < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{p} + 2\epsilon - 3\epsilon_1$, then we choose

$$\epsilon_1 = \frac{2.1}{p},\ \epsilon = \frac{2}{p},\ \forall\ \frac{3}{q} \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{0.7}{p})$$

leading

$$2(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon) = \frac{0.2}{p},\ \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{p} - 2\epsilon_1) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{3.6}{p} \geq \frac{0.2}{p}. \tag{4.5}$$

4.2. **The lower bound of U_1.** Following the same idea and process as Section 3.3, one can easily get the finial lower bound of $\|U_1(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}}$, that is,

$$\|U_1(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}} \geq \frac{c}{4} 2^{2(\epsilon_1-\epsilon)N}, \tag{4.6}$$

where $t = T_0 := 2^{-2(1+\epsilon)N}$. We omit the details to avoid the repetition.

4.3. **The analysis of U_2.** Let $0 \le T \le T_0$, we split this subsection into several steps.
Step 1 The estimate of U_1 Like the previous section, we list first some estimates of U_1:

$$\|U_1\|_{L^r_T L^\infty} \le \frac{CT^{1+\frac{1}{r}}2^{N(3-\frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)^2},\ \|U_1\|_{L^1_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q_2}+1}_{q_2,1}} + \|U_1\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q_2}}_{q_2,1}} \le \frac{CT^{\frac{3}{2}}2^{N(\frac{3}{q_2}-\frac{3}{p}+3)}}{C(N)^2},\ (q_2 = 6 \text{ or } q).$$

Step 2 The estimate of $\|u\cdot\nabla u\|_{L^1_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}$ By using (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|U_0 \otimes U_0\|_{L^1_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}} \le& C\|U_0\|_{L^\infty_T L^\infty}\|U_0\|_{L^1_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}} \le \frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{6}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^2},\\
\|U_0 \otimes U_1\|_{L^1_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}} \le& CT^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|U_0\|_{L^\infty_T L^\infty}\|U_1\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}} + \|U_1\|_{L^\infty_T L^\infty}\|U_0\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}})\\
\le& \frac{CT^2 2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{6}{p}+4)}}{C(N)^3},\\
\|U_1 \otimes U_1\|_{L^1_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}} \le& C\|U_1\|_{L^2_T L^\infty}\|U_1\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}} \le \frac{CT^3 2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{6}{p}+6)}}{C(N)^4},\\
\|U_2 \otimes U_2\|_{L^1_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}} \le& C\|U_2\|^2_{\tilde{L}^2_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|U_0 \otimes U_2\|_{L^1_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}} \le& C(\|U_0\|_{L^2_T L^\infty}\|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}} + \|\nabla U_0\|_{L^1_T L^\infty}\|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}})\\
\le& \frac{CT^{\frac{1}{2}}2^{N(1-\frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)}(\|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}} + \|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}}).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|U_1 \otimes U_2\|_{L^1_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}} \le& C(\|U_1\|_{L^2_T L^\infty}\|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}} + \|\nabla U_1\|_{L^1_T L^\infty}\|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}})\\
\le& \frac{CT^{\frac{3}{2}}2^{N(3-\frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)^2}(\|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}} + \|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining with the above six estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\cdot\nabla u\|_{L^1_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}} \le& \frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{6}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^2} + \frac{CT^{\frac{1}{2}}2^{N(1-\frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)}\\
&\times (\|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}} + \|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}}) + C\|U_2\|^2_{\tilde{L}^2_T \dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Step 3. The estimate of $\|F_1\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}$ and $\|F_2\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}$ Using the product estimate (2.4) and $\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}\hookrightarrow\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p_0}}_{p_0,1}$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
\|a_1\Delta U_0\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}\leq& C\|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}\|U_0\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}}\leq\frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)}\|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}},\\
\|a_1\Delta U_1\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}\leq& C\|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}\|U_1\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}}\leq\frac{CT^{\frac{3}{2}}2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+3)}}{C(N)^2}\|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}},\\
\|a_1\Delta U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}\leq& C\|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}\|U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}},\\
\|a_0\Delta U_1\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}\leq&\|a_0\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}\|U_1\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}}\leq\frac{CT^{\frac{3}{2}}2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+3)}}{C(N)^3},\\
\|a_0\Delta U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}\leq& C\|a_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}\|U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}}\leq\frac{C}{C(N)}\|U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining with the above estimates, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|F_1\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}+\|F_2\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}\leq&\frac{CT^{\frac{3}{2}}2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+3)}}{C(N)^3}+\frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)}\|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}\\
&+\frac{C}{C(N)}\|U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}}+C\|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}\|U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

Step 4. The estimates of the pressure P and the modified pressure Π Using the product estimate (2.4) again, we have

$$\|a_0\Delta U_0\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}\leq C\|a_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}\|U_0\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}}\leq\frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^2}.\tag{4.9}$$

Using (3.1), (2.4), (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla P\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}\leq&C\|a\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}\|\nabla P\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}+C\|u\cdot\nabla u\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}+C\|a\Delta u\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}\\
\leq&C(\frac{C}{C(N)}+\|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}})\|\nabla P\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}\\
&+\frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^2}+\frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)}\|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}\\
&+\frac{CT^{\frac{3}{2}}2^{N(3-\frac{3}{p})}+C(N)}{C(N)^2}(\|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}+\|U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}})\\
&+C(\|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}+\|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}})\|U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.10}$$

And using (3.2), (4.7) and (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla\Pi\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}} \leq & C\|a\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}\|\nabla\Pi\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}} \\ & + C\|a\|^2_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}\|u\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}} + C\|u\cdot\nabla u\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}} \\ \leq & C(\frac{1}{C(N)} + \|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}})\|\nabla\Pi\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}} + C\|U_2\|^2_{\tilde{L}^2_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}} \\ & + C(\frac{1}{C(N)^2} + \|a_1\|^2_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}})(\frac{T2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)} + \|U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}}) \\ & + \frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{6}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^2} + \frac{CT^{\frac{1}{2}}2^{N(1-\frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)^2}(\|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}} + \|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}}_{q,1}}).\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Step 5. The estimate of $\|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}$ Thanks to Proposition 2.5, we have

$$\|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} \leq \|u\cdot\nabla a_0\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}\exp\{C\|\nabla u\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}\}.$$

And by (2.1), one gets

$$\|U_0\cdot\nabla a_0\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} \leq C(\|U_0\|_{L^1_TL^\infty}\|\nabla a_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} + \|U_0\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}\|\nabla a_0\|_{L^\infty}) \leq \frac{CT2^{N(2-\frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)^2},$$

$$\|U_1\cdot\nabla a_0\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} \leq C(\|U_1\|_{L^1_TL^\infty}\|\nabla a_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} + \|U_1\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}\|\nabla a_0\|_{L^2_TL^\infty}) \leq \frac{CT^2 2^{N(4-\frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)^3}.$$

Applying (2.2), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|U_2\cdot\nabla a_0\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} \leq & C(T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla a_0\|_{L^\infty}\|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} + T\|\nabla^2 a_0\|_{L^\infty}\|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}) \\ \leq & \frac{CT^{\frac{1}{2}}2^{\frac{N}{2}}}{C(N)}(\|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} + \|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} \leq & C\left(\frac{T2^{N(2-\frac{3}{p})}}{C(N)^2} + \frac{T^{\frac{1}{2}}2^{\frac{N}{2}}}{C(N)}(\|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}} + \|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^2_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}})\right) \\ & \times\exp\{C\|\nabla U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}}\}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

4.4. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Denote

$$X_T := \|a_1\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{6,1}},\ Y_T := \|U_2\|_{\tilde{L}^\infty_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}} + \|U_2\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}+1}_{q,1}},$$

and

$$\bar{T} := \sup\{t\in(0,T_0):\ Y_T \leq M_3T(\frac{2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^3} + \frac{2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{6}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^2}),\ X_T \leq M_2\frac{T^{\frac{1}{2}}2^N}{C(N)^2}\},$$

where M_3 and M_4 will be fixed later. Using (4.12), choosing N such that

$$M_3T\left\{\frac{2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)^2} + \frac{2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{6}{p}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)}\right\} \ll 1,$$

we have

$$X_T \leq \frac{CT^{\frac{1}{2}}2^N}{C(N)^2} + \frac{CT^{\frac{1}{2}}2^N}{C(N)^2}\{M_3T(\frac{2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)^2} + \frac{2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{6}{p}+\frac{3}{2})}}{C(N)})\} \leq \frac{C_1T^{\frac{1}{2}}2^N}{C(N)^2}. \tag{4.13}$$

From the estimate (4.10), one has

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla P\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}} \leq & \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla P\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}} + \frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^2} \\ & + \frac{CT^{\frac{3}{2}}2^{N(3-\frac{3}{p})} + C(N)}{C(N)^2}Y_T \\ & + \frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)}X_T + CY_T(X_T+Y_T),\end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla P\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}} \leq & \frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^2} + \frac{CT^{\frac{3}{2}}2^{N(3-\frac{3}{p})} + C(N)}{C(N)^2}Y_T \\ & + \frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)}X_T + CY_T(X_T+Y_T),\end{aligned}$$

Applying product estimate again, and using $X_T \ll 1$, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\|a\nabla P\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}} \leq & \frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^3} + \frac{CT^{\frac{3}{2}}2^{N(3-\frac{3}{p})} + C(N)}{C(N)^2}Y_T \\ & + \frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)}X_T + CY_T(X_T+Y_T).\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Similarly, thanks to (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla\Pi\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}} \leq & CT(\frac{2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^3} + \frac{2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{6}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^2}) \\ & + \frac{CT2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)}X_T^2 + (\frac{C}{C(N)^2}+Y_T)Y_T + CX_T^2Y_T.\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Collecting the above estimates (4.7), (4.8), (4.14), (4.15) and (4.13), and setting N such that

$$M_3T(\frac{2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^3} + \frac{2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{6}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^2}) \ll 1,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}Y_T \leq & C(\|u\cdot\nabla u\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}} + \sum_{i=1,2}\|F_i\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}} + \|a\nabla P\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}} + \|\nabla\Pi\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}) \\ \leq & C_2T(\frac{2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^3} + \frac{2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{6}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^2}) + \frac{1}{2}Y_T.\end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$Y_T \leq 2C_2T(\frac{2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^3} + \frac{2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{6}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^2})$$

One can see from the Remark 4.2 that the conditions in Lemma 4.1 can ensure the above requirements of N. Choosing $M_3 = 4C_1$ and $M_4 = 4C_2$, we can get a contradiction by using the continuation argument. Therefore, we have $\bar{T} = T_0$, and

$$Y_T \le 4C_2T(\frac{2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{3}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^3} + \frac{2^{N(\frac{3}{q}-\frac{6}{p}+2)}}{C(N)^2}),\ X_t \le 4C_1\frac{T^{\frac{1}{2}}2^N}{C(N)^2},\ \forall\ T \le T_0. \tag{4.16}$$

Combining with (4.6) and (4.16), we get

$$\|u(T_0)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}} \ge \|U_1(T_0)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}} - (\|U_0(T_0)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}_{p,1}} + Y_{T_0}) \ge \frac{c}{8}2^{2(\epsilon_1-\epsilon)N}.$$

Thanks to (4.1), (4.4) and (4.5), we can complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Appendix A. The endpoint case for Theorem 1.2: $p = \infty$

In this section, we give some comments on the endpoint case for Theorem 1.2, that is, the case $p = \infty$. The previous proof in Section 4 is not suit for this case, since some difficulties occur when we bound $\|U_0\cdot\nabla U_0\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}$ in the estimate of Y_T. More precisely, we have

$$\|U_0\cdot\nabla U_0\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}} \le \frac{CT2^{\frac{3}{q}+2}}{C(N)^2},$$

combined with (4.2) and (4.3) yielding

$$\frac{3}{q} < \frac{1}{2} + 2\epsilon - 2\epsilon_1 < \frac{1}{2}.$$

This is a contradiction with $q \in (3, 6)$. However, we can brake this barrier by introducing another new modified pressure

$$\Pi_1 := P + \mu|D|^{-2}\mathrm{div}(a\Delta u) - |D|^{-2}\mathrm{div}(u\cdot\nabla u)$$

leading

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla\Pi_1 =&|D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}(a\nabla\Pi_1) - \mu|D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}\{a|D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}(a\Delta u)\}\\ &+ \mu|D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}\{a|D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}(u\cdot\nabla u)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then we consider

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t a + u\cdot\nabla a = 0,\ \mathrm{div}u = 0\\ \partial_t u - \mu\Delta u = -u\cdot\nabla u - \nabla\Pi_1 - a\nabla P\\ \qquad\qquad + \mu a\Delta u + \mu|D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}(a\Delta u) - \nabla|D|^{-2}\mathrm{div}(u\cdot\nabla u),\\ (a(0,x), u(0,x)) = (a_0(x), u_0(x)),\end{cases} \tag{A.1}$$

and have

$$\begin{aligned}u(t,x) =&e^{\mu\Delta t}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{\mu\Delta(t-\tau)}\{-u\cdot\nabla u - \nabla\Pi_1 - a\nabla P\\ &+ \mu a\Delta u + \mu|D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}(a\Delta u) - \nabla|D|^{-2}\mathrm{div}(u\cdot\nabla u)\}d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_0(t) =& e^{\mu\Delta t}u_0,\\
U_1(t) =& \mu\int_0^t e^{\mu\Delta(t-\tau)}\{\underbrace{a_0\Delta U_0+|D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}(a_0\Delta U_0)}_{\Xi_1}\underbrace{-U_0\cdot\nabla U_0-\nabla|D|^{-2}\mathrm{div}(U_0\cdot\nabla U_0)}_{\Upsilon_1}\}d\tau,\\
U_2(t) =& \int_0^t e^{\mu\Delta(t-\tau)}\{K_1+K_2-u\cdot\nabla u-\nabla\Pi_1-a\nabla P+F_1+F_2\}d\tau,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_1 =&(U_1+U_2)\cdot\nabla(U_0+U_1+U_2)+U_0\cdot\nabla(U_1+U_2),\\
K_2 =&\nabla|D|^{-2}\mathrm{div}\{(U_1+U_2)\cdot\nabla(U_0+U_1+U_2)+U_0\cdot\nabla(U_1+U_2)\},\\
F_1 =&\mu(a_1\Delta u+a_0\Delta(U_1+U_2)),\quad F_2=\mu|D|^{-2}\nabla\mathrm{div}\{a_1\Delta u+a_0\Delta(U_1+U_2)\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have the decomposition of u. We also use the previous decomposition of a in the following. We choose the initial data as the Section 4 by setting $p=\infty$. The proof is very similar, here we only show the framework.

Firstly, one can get the large lower bound of U_1, which can be obtained from the estimate of $\mu\int_0^t e^{\mu\Delta(t-\tau)}\Xi_1 d\tau$. In fact, the associated bound of $\mu\int_0^t e^{\mu\Delta(t-\tau)}\Upsilon_1 d\tau$ can be absorbed by this lower bound.

Secondly, thanks to the modified pressure Π_1, we can avoid the estimate of $\|U_0\cdot\nabla U_0\|_{L^1_T\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{q}-1}_{q,1}}$ and get the small bound of X_T and Y_T by following the procedure as Section 4.

At last, combining with the above arguments yields the desired result by following the same procedure as Section 4.

Acknowledgements

This paper was supported by NSF of China under Grants 11571306. The author is indebted to the anonymous referee for the valuable suggestions and comments.

References

[1] H. Abidi, Équation de Navier-Stokes avec densité et viscosité variables dans l' espace critique,*Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **23** (2007) 537-586.

[2] H. Abidi, M. Paicu, Existence globale pour un fluide inhomogéne, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **57** (2007) 883-917.

[3] H. Abidi, G. Gui, P. Zhang, On the decay and stability of global solutions to the 3D inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **65** (2011) 832-881.

[4] H. Abidi, G. Gui, P. Zhang, On the wellposedness of 3-D inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations in the critical spaces, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **204** (2012) 189-230.

[5] S. Antontsev, A. Kazhikhov, V. Monakhov, *Boundary Value Problems in Mechanics of Nonhomogeneous Fluids*, Studies in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 22, North Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1990.

[6] H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin, R. Danchin, *Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, in: Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.

[7] J. Bourgain, N. Pavlović, Ill-posedness of the Navier-Stokes equations in a critical space in 3D, *J. Func. Anal.* **255** (2008) 2233-2247.
[8] M. Cannone, A generalization of a theorem by Kato on Navier-Stokes equations, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **13** (1997) 515-541.
[9] J.-Y. Chemin, N. Lerner, Flot de champs de vecteurs non-lipschitziens et équations de navier-stokes, *J. Differential Equations* **121** (1995) 314-328.
[10] D. Chen, Z. Zhang, W. Zhao, Fujita-Kato theorem for the 3-D inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations, *J. Differential Equations* **261** (2016) 738-761.
[11] J. Chen, R. Wan, Ill-posedness for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with the intial velocity in critical L^6 framework, preprint, 2016.
[12] Q. Chen, C. Miao, Z. Zhang, On the ill-posedness of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in the critical Besov spaces, *Revista Mat. Iber.* **31** (2015), 1375-1402.
[13] S. Cui, Sharp well-posedness and ill-posedness of the Navier-Stokes initial value problem in Besov-type spaces, arXiv:1505.00865.
[14] R. Danchin, Density-dependent incompressible viscous fluids in critical spaces, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **133** (2003) 1311-1334.
[15] R. Danchin, P.B. Mucha, A Lagrangian approach for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with variable density, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **65** (2012) 1458-1480.
[16] R. Danchin, P.B. Mucha, Incompressible flows with piecewise constant density, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **207** (2013) 991-1023.
[17] C. Deng, X. Yao, Ill-posedness of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in $\dot{F}^{-1}_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, arXiv:1302.7084.
[18] B. Desjardins, Regularity results for two dimensional flows of multiphase viscous fluids, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **137** (1997) 135-158.
[19] P. Germain, Strong solutions and weak-strong uniqueness for the nonhomogeneous NavierCStokes system, *J. Anal. Math.* **105** (2008) 169-196.
[20] P. Germain, The second iterate for the Navier-Stokes equation, *J. Func. Anal.* **255** (2008) 2248-2264.
[21] J. Huang, M. Paicu, P. Zhang, Global solutions to incompressible inhomogeneous fluid system with bounded density and non-Lipschitz velocity, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **209** (2013) 631-682.
[22] T. Iwabuchi, R. Takada, Global well-posedness and ill-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations with the Coriolis force in function spaces of Besov type, *J. Funct. Anal.* **267** (2014) 1321-1337.
[23] T. Kato, G. Ponce, Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier- Stokes equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **41**, (1988) 891-907.
[24] O.A. Ladyzenskaja, V.A. Solonnikov, The unique solvability of an initial-boundary value problem for viscous incompressible inhomogeneous fluids, *J. Sov. Math.* **9** (1978) 697-749.
[25] P.L. Lions, Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics. Vol. 1. Incompressible Models, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 3, Oxford University Press, 1996.
[26] M. Paicu, P. Zhang, Global solutions to the 3-D incompressible inhomogeneous NavierCStokes system, *J. Funct. Anal.* **262** (2012) 3556-3584.
[27] M. Paicu, P. Zhang, Z. Zhang, Global unique solvability of homogeneous NavierCStokes equations with bounded density, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **38** (2013) 1208-1234.
[28] F. Planchon, Global strong solutions in Sobolev or Lebesgue spaces to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}^3$, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **13** (1996) 319-336.
[29] B. Wang, Ill-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations in critical Besov spaces $\dot{B}^{-1}_{\infty,q}$, *Adv. Math.* **208** (2015) 350-372.
[30] T. Yoneda, Ill-posedness of the 3D Navier-Stokes equations in a generalized Besov space near BMO^{-1}, *J. Funct. Anal* **258** (2010) 3376-3387.
[31] C. Zhai, T. Zhang, Global well-posedness to the 3-D incompressible inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations with a class of large velocity, *J. Math. Phys.* **56** (2015) 091512.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hanzhou 310027, China
E-mail address: rhwanmath@zju.edu.cn